

<http://www.ethikseite.de/bib/bmillspr.pdf>

- 1994 [1] Alican, Necip Fikri (1994): *Mill's Principle of Utility: A Defence of John Stuart Mill's Notorious Proof*, Amsterdam.
- 1998 [2] Arrington, Robert L. (1998): *Western Ethics. An Historical Introduction*, Oxford, S. 343–45 (“Proof of the Principle of Utility”).
- 1957 [3] Atkinson, R. F. (1957): J. S. Mill's ‘Proof’ of the Principle of Utility, *Philosophy* 32, S. 158–67.
- 1980 [4] Baker, J. (1980): Mill's Captivating ‘Proof’ and the Foundations of Ethics, *Social Theory and Practice* 6.
- 1984 [5] Berger, Fred (1984): *Happiness, Justice, and Freedom: The Moral and Political Philosophy of John Stuart Mill*, Berkeley, S. 30–63 (“Mill's Concept of Happiness and the Proof of its Desirability”).
- 1990 [6] Cohen, Stephen (1990): Proof and Sanction in Mill's Utilitarianism, *History of Philosophy Quarterly* 7, S. 475–87.
- 1969 [7] Cooper, Neil (1969): Mill's ‘Proof’ of the Principle of Utility, *Mind* 78, S. 278f.
- 1997 [8] Crisp, Roger (1997): *Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Mill on Utilitarianism*, London, S. 71–90.
- 1998 [9] Crisp, Roger (1998): Editor's Introduction, in John Stuart Mill, *Utilitarianism*, hrsg. von Roger Crisp, Oxford, S. 5–43: S. 22–26.
- 1998 [10] Darwall, Stephen (1998): *Philosophical Ethics*, Boulder, S. 114–18 (“Pleasure, Desire, and Mill's ‘Proof’”).
- 1969 [11] Dryer, D. P. (1969): Mill's Utilitarianism, in *Collected Works of John Stuart Mill*, Volume X: *Essays on Ethics, Religion and Society*, Toronto, S. lxxiii–cxiii: S. lxxiii–lxxxv (“The Evidence of What is Desirable”).
- 1978 [12] Feldman, Fred (1978): *Introductory Ethics*, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., S. 41–46 (“Mill's Proof”).
- 1997 [13] Gerrard, Steve (1997): Desire and Desirability: Bradley, Russell and Moore versus Mill, in *Early Analytic Philosophy. Frege, Russell, Wittgenstein. Essays in Honor of Leonard Linsky*, hrsg. von William W. Tait, Chicago, S. 37–74.
- 1972 [14] Griffin, N. (1972): A Note on Mr. Cooper's Reconstruction of Mill's ‘Proof’, *Mind* 81.
- 1949 [15] Hall, Everett W. (1949): The ‘Proof’ of Utility in Bentham and Mill, *Ethics* 60, S. 1–18.
- 1983 [16] Hudson, W. D. (1983): *Modern Moral Philosophy*, 2. Aufl., Houndmills, S. 74–79 (“Did Mill Commit the Naturalistic Fallacy?”).
- 1978 [17] Jones, H. (1978): Mill's Argument for the Principle of Utility, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 38.
- 1970 [18] Kleinig, John (1970): The Fourth Chapter of Mill's *Utilitarianism*, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 48, S. 197–205.

- 1958 [19] Kretzmann, Norman (1958): Desire as Proof of Desirability, *Philosophical Quarterly* 8, S. 246–58.
- 2009 [20] Kuenzle, Dominique/Schefczyk, Michael (2009): *John Stuart Mill zur Einführung*, Hamburg, S. 50–59.
- 1989 [21] Lachs, John (1989): Mill, in *Ethics in the History of Western Philosophy*, hrsg. von Robert J. Cavalier, James Gouinlock und James P. Sterba, New York, S. 244–70: S. 257–60 (“The Proof of Utilitarianism”).
- 1968 [22] Mandelbaum, M. (1968): Two Moot Issues in Mill’s Utilitarianism, in *Mill: A Collection of Critical Essays*, hrsg. von J. B. Schneewind, Garden City, N.Y., London, S. 206–33.
- 1973 [23] Marshall, J. (1973): The Proof of Utility and Equity in Mill’s Utilitarianism, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 3.
- 2002 [24] Mawson, Tim (2002): Mill’s Proof, *Philosophy* 77, S. 375–405.<sup>1</sup>
- 2004 [25] Miller, Dale E. (2004): On Millgram and Mill, *Utilitas* 16, S. 96–108.<sup>2</sup>
- 2010 [26] Miller, Dale E. (2010): *J. S. Mill. Moral, Social and Political Thought*, Cambridge, S. 31–53 (“The ‘Proof’ of the Principle of Utility”).
- 2000 [27] Millgram, Elijah (2000): Mill’s Proof of the Principle of Utility, *Ethics* 110, S. 282–310. Wiederabgedruckt in Millgram, *Ethics Done Right. Practical Reasoning as a Foundation for Moral Theory*, Cambridge 2005, S. 56–88.
- 1903 [28] Moore, G. E. (1903): *Principia Ethica*, Revised Edition. With the Preface to the Second Edition and other papers. Edited with an introduction by Thomas Baldwin, Cambridge 1993, S. 118f. (§ 40). – *Principia Ethica*, Stuttgart 1970, S. 110–12 (§ 40).
- 1963 [29] Moser, S. (1963): A Comment on Mill’s Argument for Utilitarianism, *Inquiry* 6.
- 2007 [30] Mulgan, Tim (2007): *Understanding Utilitarianism*, Stocksfield, S. 20–22, 48–50.
- 2003 [31] Müller, Olaf L. (2003): John Stuart Mills Argument für den Utilitarismus. Ein plausibler Weg zwischen Metaphysik und Nihilismus?, in *Philosophiegeschichte und logische Analyse*, hrsg. von Uwe Meixner und Albert Newen, Band 6: *Geschichte der Ethik*, Paderborn, S. 167–91.
- 1967 [32] Narveson, Jan (1967): *Morality and Utility*, Baltimore, S. 283–88.

---

<sup>1</sup> “This paper constitutes a suggested route through the well-trodden minefield that is Mill’s proof of Utilitarianism. A deductive course – tramping gamely straight across from an egoistic psychological hedonism to a disinterested ethical hedonism – would seemingly be the most hazardous route across the terrain. Thus, it has become standard policy amongst guides to advise readers of Utilitarianism that this is a route which Mill neither needs nor attempts to take. I shall argue that in travelling down this route one can avoid the dangers with which it is usually associated and I shall tentatively suggest that one may find oneself following Mill’s footsteps in doing so.”

<sup>2</sup> “In a recent article in *Ethics*, Elijah Millgram presents a novel reconstruction of J. S. Mill’s ‘proof’ of the principle of utility. Millgram’s larger purpose is to critique instrumentalist approaches to practical reasoning. His reading of the proof makes Mill out to be an instrumentalist, and Millgram thinks that the ultimate failure of Mill’s argument usefully illustrates an inconsistency inherent in instrumentalism. Yet Millgram’s interpretation of the proof does not succeed. Mill is not an instrumentalist. Millgram may be right that instrumentalism is incoherent, but he has chosen the wrong figure to illustrate the point.”

- 1998 [33] Norman, Richard (1998): *The Moral Philosophers. An Introduction to Ethics*, 2. Aufl., Oxford, S. 102–7 (“The ‘Proof’”).
- 1950 [34] Popkin, R. H. (1950): A Note on the ‘Proof’ of Utility in J. S. Mill, *Ethics* 61, S. 66–68.
- 1989 [35] Quinton, Anthony (1989): *Utilitarian Ethics*, London, 2. Aufl., S. 58–71 (“The Proof of the Principle of Utility”).
- 1955 [36] Raphael, D. D. (1955): Fallacies in and about Mill’s Utilitarianism, *Philosophy* 30, S. 344–57.
- 1994 [37] Raphael, D. D. (1994): J. S. Mill’s Proof of the Principle of Utility, *Utilitas* 6, S. 55–63.
- 1999 [38] Rosen, Bernard (1999): *The Centrality of Normative Ethical Theory*, New York, S. 23–41.
- 2001 [39] Sayre-McCord, Geoffrey (2001): Mill’s “Proof” of the Principle of Utility: A More than Half-Hearted Defense, *Social Philosophy and Policy* 18, S. 330–60.
- 1996 [40] Scarre, Geoffrey (1996): *Utilitarianism*, London, S. 96–101 (“The ‘Proof of Utility’”).
- 2005 [41] Schmidt-Petri, Christoph (2005): Mill’s Proof of the Principle of Utility, in *Philosophische Perspektiven. Beiträge zum VII. Internationalen Kongress der Österreichischen Gesellschaft für Philosophie*, hrsg. von Otto Neumaier, Clemens Sedmak und Michael Zichy, Frankfurt am Main, Lancaster, S. 244–49.
- 1908 [42] Seth, James (1908): The Alleged Fallacies in Mill’s ‘Utilitarianism’, *Philosophical Review* 17, S. 469–88.
- 1999 [43] Shaw, William H. (1999): *Contemporary Ethics. Taking Account of Utilitarianism*, Oxford, S. 45–47, 72–76.
- 1989 [44] Skorupski, John (1989): *John Stuart Mill*, London, S. 285–310.
- 1968 [45] Spence, G. W. (1968): The Psychology behind J. S. Mill’s ‘Proof’, *Philosophy* 43, S. 18–28.
- 1976 [46] Stocker, Michael (1969): Mill on Desire and Desirability, *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 7, S. 199–201.
- 1965 [47] Stroll, Avrum (1965): Mill’s Fallacy, *Dialogue* 3, S. 385–404.
- 2002 [48] Timmons, Mark (2002): *Moral Theory. An Introduction*, Lanham, S. 117–21 (“Mill’s “Proof””).
- 1971 [49] Warnock, G. J. (1971): *The Object of Morality*, London, S. 10–18.
- 1978 [50] Warnock, Mary (1978): *Ethics since 1900*, Third Edition, Oxford, S. 10–15.
- 1959 [51] Wellman, Carl (1959): A Reinterpretation of Mill’s Proof, *Ethics* 69, S. 268–76.
- 1972 [52] West, Henry R. (1972): Reconstructing Mill’s ‘Proof’ of the Principle of Utility, *Mind* 81.
- 1982 [53] West, Henry R. (1982): Mill’s ‘Proof’ and the Principle of Utility, in *The Limits of Utilitarianism*, hrsg. von Harlan B. Miller und William H. Williams, Minneapolis, S. 23–34.
- 2004 [54] West, Henry R. (2004): *An Introduction to Mill’s Utilitarian Ethics*, Cambridge, S. 118–45 (“Mill’s “Proof” of the Principle of Utility”).
- 2006 [55] West, Henry R. (2006): Mill’s “Proof” of the Principle of Utility, in *The Blackwell Guide to Mill’s Utilitarianism*, hrsg. von Henry R. West, Oxford, S. 174–83.
- 2007 [56] West, Henry R. (2007): *Mill’s Utilitarianism. A Reader’s Guide*, London, S. 77–89.
- 1982 [57] Wilson, F. (1982): Mill’s Proof that Happiness is the Criterion of Morality, *Journal of Business Ethics* 1, S. 59–72.

- 1983 [58] Wilson, F. (1983): Mill's 'Proof' of Utility and the Composition of Causes, *Journal of Business Ethics* 2.
- 1992 [59] Wolf, Jean-Claude (1992): *John Stuart Mills „Utilitarismus“*. Ein kritischer Kommentar, Freiburg, München, S. 132–67.
- 2008 [60] Yim, Dan (2008): The *Logic* and Mill's Infamous Proof in *Utilitarianism*, *British Journal for the History of Philosophy* 16, S. 773–88.